ANNALS OF THE NEW YORK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES Special Issue: Annals *Reports* ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Enriching footsteps sounds in gait rehabilitation in chronic stroke patients: a pilot study

Alba Gomez-Andres,^{1,2} Jennifer Grau-Sánchez,^{1,2,3} Esther Duarte,⁴ Antoni Rodriguez-Fornells,^{1,2,5,6} and Ana Tajadura-Jiménez^{7,8}

¹Cognition and Brain Plasticity Group, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute-IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ²Department of Cognition, Development and Educational Psychology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ³Escola Universitària d'Infermeria i Teràpia Ocupacional de Terrassa (EUIT), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Terrassa, Catalonia, Spain. ⁴Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Hospitals del Mar i l'Esperança, Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain. ⁵Institute of Neurosciences (UBNeuro), University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁶Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷DEI Interactive Systems Group, Computer Science Department, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganés, Spain. ⁸UCL Interaction Centre (UCLIC), University College London, London, United Kingdom

Addresses for correspondence: Antoni Rodriguez-Fornells, Cognition and Brain Plasticity Group, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute-IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, University of Barcelona, Campus de Bellvitge - Pavelló de Govern, 08908 Barcelona, Spain. arfornells@gmail.com; Ana Tajadura-Jiménez, DEI Interactive Systems Group, Computer Science Department, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Av. de la Universidad, 30, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain. a.tajadura@ucl.ac.uk

In the context of neurorehabilitation, sound is being increasingly applied for facilitating sensorimotor learning. In this study, we aimed to test the potential value of auditory stimulation for improving gait in chronic stroke patients by inducing alterations of the frequency spectra of walking sounds via a sound system that selectively amplifies and equalizes the signal in order to produce distorted auditory feedback. Twenty-two patients with lower extremity paresis were exposed to real-time alterations of their footstep sounds while walking. Changes in body perception, emotion, and gait were quantified. Our results suggest that by altering footsteps sounds, several gait parameters can be modified in terms of left-right foot asymmetry. We observed that augmenting low-frequency bands or amplifying the natural walking sounds led to a reduction in the asymmetry index of stance and stride times, whereas it inverted the asymmetry pattern in heel–ground exerted force. By contrast, augmenting high-frequency bands led to opposite results. These gait changes might be related to updating of internal forward models, signaling the need for adjustment of the motor system to reduce the perceived discrepancies between predicted–actual sensory feedbacks. Our findings may have the potential to enhance gait awareness in stroke patients and other clinical conditions, supporting gait rehabilitation.

Keywords: auditory feedback; forward model; gait rehabilitation; stroke

Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, stroke is the second leading cause of death¹ and disability² in countries of the developed world. The ability to walk is impaired in 80% of stroke patients,^{3,4} and this impairment is characterized by decreased speed, cadence, and stride length, as well as gait asymmetry between both lower extremities regarding spatial temporal gait parameters.^{5,6} Deficits in somatosensory function, leading to sensory loss and altered bodily experiences, are also relatively common after stroke.^{7,8} These deficits keep stroke patients from performing their daily activities independently and participating in their community.

Gait control is sustained by an extensive network of neural structures and pathways, such as the spinal cord, brainstem, cerebellum, basal ganglia, limbic system, and cerebral cortex, as well as their interactions with the environment.^{9,10} Crucially, the cerebellum takes into account both feed-forward information and real-time sensory feedback (visual, auditory, vestibular, tactile, and proprioceptive) for providing locomotor adaptation.¹¹

Over the past few years, new rehabilitation techniques tackling sensorimotor learning are being studied, drawing from the growing evidence supporting the notion that body perceptions (mental body models or body-representations) are not fixed but continuously updated by body-related multisensory feedback.¹²⁻¹⁷ According to computational theories for motor control, such as the "comparator hypothesis,"18 the planning and execution of motor actions are readjusted when there is a mismatch between the predicted sensory feedback (efference copy) and the actual feedback once the action is performed (afferent inputs). An important aspect that derives from this model is that planning and execution of motor actions can be partially altered by augmented or distorted external multisensory feedback.

In the context of feedback-based rehabilitation, movement sonification provides real-time auditory information about the actual body position, supplementing proprioceptive information, increasing body awareness and coordination, guiding movement, providing indication of progress, increasing motivation, reducing anxiety, and facilitating sensorimotor learning.¹⁹ For example, musical sonification therapy has been used effectively to enhance gross movements of the upper extremity after stroke,²⁰⁻²³ and emotionally charged auditory stimulation with happy or sad sounds has been shown to enhance gait velocity and cadence.²⁴ In the specific case of gait rehabilitation, real-time auditory feedback on walking and step cueing has been used to compensate for limited proprioceptive feedback and to promote correct movement in gait rehabilitation in clinical populations, such as Parkinson's disease,^{25,26} children with cerebral palsy,²⁷ patients with multiple sclerosis,²⁸ as well as in modifying gait patterns in healthy older adults.^{29,30}

On the other hand, much less work has been done using sound as a source of *sensory alteration* or distortion of one's own body, augmenting or distorting the actual natural feedback recalibrating the feed-forward motor commands of the action performed, impacting motor behavior and body representation. For example, studies manipulating auditory feedback during singing³¹ or speech production³² have shown involuntary adjustment and compensation over the unexpected feedback. Menzer et al.33 induced an alteration in gait (walking speed) and in the sense of agency by delaying the provision of self-produced footsteps sounds. In a series of studies, Tajadura-Jiménez and colleagues provided evidence about the manipulation of participant's perceived body appearance, behavior, and emotion, introducing altered auditory feedback synchronized to the participant's movement. For example, altering the spatial cues of sounds produced when one's hand taps a surface can lead to perceive one's arm as longer than before¹⁶ or to perform reaching actions as if one's arm was longer.³⁴ Recently, Tajadura-Jiménez et al. reported new evidence on this possibility using a newly developed wearable technology allowing the manipulation of real-time walking sounds.³⁵ Augmenting the high frequencies of the walking sounds made people perceive their body as lighter/thinner, leading to "more active" gait patterns. More recently, this study was replicated³⁶ in the context of exertion, confirming that the effects of sound in body perception occur even in physically demanding situations. Changes in body perception, as well as gait variations, have also been evaluated in a proof-of-principle study³⁷ with complex regional pain syndrome patients suffering from chronic pain and distortions in body perception, showing changes in body perception disturbances, as well as gait variations, depending on the sound feedback condition.

To date, this approach tackling bottom-up mechanisms by which changes in body-perception lead to changes in gait and bodily feelings has not been trialed in chronic stroke patients. Such an approach may have a potential value for these patients, given the anomalous gait and bodily sensations often observed in them.^{5–8} With this purpose in mind, we applied the same wearable technology used in previous studies^{35–37} in order to test whether we could modify dysfunctional gait patterns in this group of patients by manipulating the perceived auditory feedback of their footsteps sounds, expecting to induce changes in the patients' perception of their body, leading to changes in gait asymmetry.

Materials and methods

Participants

Twenty-four chronic stroke patients with lower extremity paresis participated in the experiment. The recruitment of participants was done using a database of stroke patients who had received an outpatient rehabilitation program at the Department of Rehabilitation and Physical Medicine from Hospitals del Mar i l'Esperança (Barcelona, Spain). Medical records from this database were reviewed and patients were interviewed by phone regarding their difficulties when walking. To be eligible as a participant, inclusion criteria were: hemiparesis of the lower extremity after a first-ever stroke, more than 6 months since the stroke, no major cognitive problems affecting language comprehension (difficulties in understanding task instructions or procedures, questionnaire statements, etc.), and no other psychiatric or neurologic comorbidity. From the initial sample of 24 participants, one participant could not complete the experiment due to fatigue, and there were technical problems with another participant during the experiment to consider his data valid. Therefore, the final sample was composed of 22 participants (18 males and 4 females, mean age of 67.6 ± 7 years), the majority of whom had suffered an ischemic stroke (81.8%). Lesions were mainly located at the subcortical level and in the brainstem, and the mean time since the stroke onset was 1 year and 10 months (although the range was from 6 months up to 5 years poststroke). Most participants had a slight to moderate paresis of the lower extremity as measured with the lower extremity subtest from the Fugl-Meyer Assessment of Motor Recovery after Stroke³⁸ (mean score 29.7 \pm 4.8). Table 1 provides a description of the patient's demographic and clinical characteristics, including the score obtained in the Fugl-Meyer Assessment, the Barthel Index, and the results of the 10-m walking test performed before the experiment.

All patients signed an informed consent form for participation in this study and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (Barcelona, Spain).

Apparatus and materials

Participants were asked to wear a system, which will be referred to as "sonic shoes," previously described in detail in Tajadura-Jiménez *et al.*³⁵⁻³⁷ that allows the dynamic modification of footstep sounds while walking and measures behavioral changes. As shown in Figure 1A, the system is composed of a pair of strap sandals with hard rubber soles. Two microphones (Core Sound) are attached

to the sandals to capture the walking sounds. The microphones are connected to a small stereo preamplifier (SP-24B), which connects to a stereo 9-band graphic equalizer (Behringer FBQ800) that changes sound spectra. The resulting sound is fed back via closed headphones (Sennheiser HDA300) with high passive ambient noise attenuation (>30 dBA) that muffle the actual sound of footsteps. The analogue sound loop has minimal latency (<1 ms). The preamplifier and equalizer are fitted into a small backpack that the walker carries (\sim 2 kg, 35 × 29 × 10 cm).

The part of the system dedicated to measuring gait data is composed of four force sensitive resistors (FSR; $1.75'' \times 1.5''$ sensing area) attached to the front and rear part of the sandal insoles, and two 9-axis MotionTracking devices (MEMS; Sparkfun MPU-9150) placed on the participant's ankles. The FSRs and MEMS in each foot connect to a battery and a Microduino microcontroller board, which combines a Microduino Core module, a Microduino Shield Bluetooth 4.0 module, and a Microduino USBTTL Shield module. This board is placed into a plastic box attached to the sandals, linking the sensors via Bluetooth to a smartphone. A specially developed app (SmartShoes) captures threeaxis acceleration, three-axis gyroscope, and FSR data for both feet and saves it as a TXT file upon quitting.

Procedure

Experimental design. A within-subjects design was carried out given the variability of this clinical population. The experiment was conducted in a quiet, adapted room (45 m^2) , made of a hard rubber material surface, in which patients were instructed to walk along a specific track at a self-paced, comfortable speed (Fig. 1B).

The experiment started in all cases with a "baseline" condition in order to obtain a measure of the patients' gait performance without providing any kind of additional auditory feedback but their natural footsteps sound. Next, they completed three experimental blocks, which differed in the sound feedback received when walking. The three feedback conditions were created by dynamically modifying the footstep sounds people produce as they walk (based on Refs. 35–37): (1) a "control" condition in which patients were provided with their natural footsteps sounds equally amplified

Table 1. Clinical description of participants

									10-meter walk test (baseline)	
Patient	Age	Gender	Etiology	Lesion laterality	Lesion location	Time since stroke	Fugl-Meyer (baseline)	Barthel Index	Self- selected pace	e Fast
1	68	М	Ι	R	Frontal and parietal lobes, caudate and lenticular nuclei, and corona radiate	10 months	32	100	1.26	1.07
2	58	М	Ι	R	Cerebellum	6.5 months	33	85	1.38	0.95
3	78	М	Ι	L	Frontal lobe	11 months	31	85	2.02	1.36
4	59	М	Ι	R	Medulla	11 months	34	100	0.93	0.68
5	70	F	Ι	R	Frontal and parietal lobes, insula, and lenticular nuclei	4 years and 11.5 months	21	80	2.72	1.88
6	75	М	Ι	R	Pons	1 year and 2.5 months	31	100	1.21	0.97
7	71	F	Ι	R	Pons and medulla	1 year and 4.5 months	31	95	1.63	1.19
8	60	М	Ι	R	Corona radiate and internal capsule	7.5 months	33	95	1.35	0.92
9	80	М	Ι	L	Pons	1 year and 4 months	27	100	1.30	0.96
10	67	М	Н	L	Lenticular nucleus, internal capsule, and thalamus	11 months	33	95	1.24	0.91
11	58	F	Ι	R	Parietal lobe, insula, and corona radiate	5 years and 11 months	32	95	1.28	1.04
12	79	М	Ι	L	Pons	1 year and 3 months	30	100	1.56	1.05
13	67	М	Н	R	Internal capsule and thalamus	2 years and 4 months	34	100	0.90	0.70
14	62	F	Н	R	Internal capsule and thalamus	9 months	31	95	1.86	1.14
15	67	М	Ι	R	Pons	9 months	32	95	1.47	1.13
16	63	М	Ι	R	Pons	2 years and 7 months	24	100	2.56	1.50
17	64	М	Н	L	Internal capsule and thalamus	9 months	32	100	1.49	1.18
18	65	М	Ι	R	Temporal and occipital lobes, corpus callosum, and thalamus	1 year and 1 month	26	95	1.04	0.68
19	69	М	Ι	L	Corona radiate and external capsule	1 year and 11 months	17	80	2.30	2
20	72	М	Ι	R	Pons	6 years and 1 month	22	95	2.68	2.07
21	59	М	Ι	L	Lenticular nucleus and internal capsule	2 years and 1.5 months	33	100	1.32	0.87
22	77	М	Ι	R	Internal capsule	1 year and 3 months	34	100	2.30	1.79
Mean	67.6	18 M/4 F	18 I/4 H	15 R/7 L		1 year and	1.63	95	1.63	1.18
(SD)	(7)					10 months (1 year and	(0.56)	(6.55)	(0.56)	(0.41)
						7 months)				

Note: The Fugl-Meyer is the lower extremity subtest from the Fugl-Meyer Assessment of Motor Recovery after Stroke (from 0 to 34 points). The Barthel Index is scored from 0 to 100. The score of the 10-meter walk test is expressed in meter per second. M, male; F, female; I, ischemic; H, hemorrhagic; R, right; L, left.



Figure 1. (A) Apparatus (*SmartShoes*) fitting. (B) Plan of the room (45 m^2) depicting the track participants were instructed to walk (separate lanes are marked in red).

across frequency bands; (2) a "low-frequency" condition in which the frequencies of the walking sounds in the 83-250 Hz range were amplified by 12 dB and those above 1 kHz were attenuated by 12 dB, so that the resulting sounds are consistent with those produced by a heavier body; and (3) a "high-frequency" condition in which the frequency components in the 1-4 kHz range were amplified by 12 dB and those between 83 and 250 Hz were attenuated by 12 dB, so that the resulting sounds are consistent with those produced by a lighter body. The three experimental conditions were randomized across subjects to account for possible effects of order on our results. At the end of each track, the patient was asked to sit down and complete two self-assessed questionnaires regarding their body feelings and emotional responses.

Questionnaires. First, a questionnaire³⁹ was used to quantify the patients' body feelings by asking them to use 7-point Likert-type response items to rate their felt speed (slow versus quick), body weight (light versus heavy), body strength (weak versus strong), and body straightness (stooped/hunched versus straight). In addition, patients were asked to rate their level of agreement with four statements (7-level Likert-type response items, from strongly agree to strongly disagree) regarding the agency of the walking sounds they heard, the vividness of the bodily experience, surprise about the

bodily feelings, and feet localization. The vividness and surprise items were excluded due to verbal comprehension difficulties regarding the understanding of these concepts.

Emotional valence, arousal, and dominance were quantified using the three 9-item graphic scales of the self-assessment manikin questionnaire.⁴⁰ Both measures were collected after the baseline and after all three experimental conditions. Data from two patients were not registered due to the patient's fatigue reports.

Gait measures. Raw sensor data were analyzed using MATLAB software. As in Tajadura-Jiménez et al.,35 the net acceleration was calculated as the square root of the sum of the squares of the three axes; the same procedure was followed to calculate the net gyroscope data. Setting thresholds on the rate of gyroscope change allowed identifying the touch-down and toe-off events of individual steps within the data sets. Then, we extracted the following parameters for each foot and step: mean exerted force of the heel against the ground; stance or contact time (time period from initial heel contact (touch-down event) to last toe contact (toe-off event)); stride time (time between two touch-down events, from which cadence and gait velocity can be derived); and foot upward/downward acceleration. For each trial, foot, and parameter, we calculated the average of all steps in the walking phase and



Figure 2. Subjective reports for (A) the Body feelings questionnaire⁴⁴ and (B) the self-assessment manikin⁴⁸ after each condition (baseline and sound conditions).

we subtracted the data of the nonaffected foot from the data of the affected foot in order to investigate the effects of sound on asymmetry between both lower extremities.^{4,5} We transformed the resulting data into normalized Z-scores following the normal distribution curve.

Data analyses

The nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test for ordinal data was employed for comparing patients' responses to the questionnaires for the different sound conditions. The Wilcoxon signed rank test for nonparametric data was used for testing the differences in time (not normally distributed), with the significance alpha level adjusted to multiple comparisons (with Bonferroni correction, the significance level was set at P < 0.012). We analyzed normal parametric gait data (normality tested with the Shaphiro-Wilk test) with repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVA), using the factor "sound condition" ("baseline," "control," "highfrequency," and "low-frequency"). Furthermore, we added participant's weight as a covariate as it is known to interact with the effect of sound condition.³⁵ Significant effects were followed by paired samples two-tailed t-tests, with the significance alpha level adjusted for multiple comparisons (with Bonferroni correction, the significance level was set at *P* < 0.017).

Results

Questionnaires

Regarding the results from the questionnaires, we saw that no significant differences between conditions were found on either the body feelings questionnaire³⁵ (Fig. 2A) or on the self-assessment manikin questionnaire⁴⁰ (Fig. 2B) when computing the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test for ordinal data.

Effect of sound condition on time for completing the track

When looking at the time (in seconds) needed for completing the track, significant differences were found between the baseline and the control conditions (mean baseline = $1.677 \text{ s} \pm 0.756$; mean control = $1.453 \text{ s} \pm 0.626$; Z(22) = -2.555; P = 0.011) when computing the Wilcoxon signed rank test for nonparametric data, evidencing an increase in the time needed to complete the track in the baseline condition. Also, differences between the baseline and low-frequency conditions were also found to be significant (mean baseline = 1.677 ± 0.756 ; mean low-frequency = 1.497 ± 0.667 ; Z(22) =-2.470; P = 0.014), showing faster times for the low-frequency feedback condition. Interestingly, when looking at the differences between the baseline condition and the combined auditory feedback conditions, a reduction in time was observed when auditory feedback was provided (mean



Figure 3. Mean (\pm SE) Z-scores for asymmetry gait variables. (A) Stance time. Positive values indicate longer time on the ground for the affected foot versus the nonaffected foot. (B) Stride time. Positive values indicate longer time between the two touch-down events for the affected versus the nonaffected foot. (C) Heel force. Positive values indicate higher force exerted on the ground with the affected foot. (D) Foot downward acceleration, for all conditions (baseline and sound conditions). Panel D shows the results on foot downward acceleration with the participant's weight added as a covariate. * indicates significant mean differences.

baseline = 1.677 ± 0.756 ; mean combined feedback = 1.497 ± 0.633 ; Z(22) = -2.062; P = 0.039), although not reaching the adjusted alpha level of significance.

Effects of sound condition on gait (sensor data)

Reviewing the effects of sound on all the gait parameters, we saw that the stance time showed a significant effect of sound condition (F(3,57) = 3.70, P = 0.017). While in the baseline condition, participants spent more time with their affected foot (versus nonaffected) on the ground; this shifted in the high-frequency condition (t(19) = 4.07; P = 0.001), showing an inverted pattern of asymmetry resulting in a longer stance time on the nonaffected hemibody (Fig. 3A). On the other hand, in Figure 3A, it can be seen how the asymmetries in the stance times for the low-frequency and control conditions seem to disappear.

A similar related effect of sound condition was found for stride time (time between two touchdown events, related to gait velocity and cadence), (F(3,57) = 5.13, P = 0.003): The stride time was longer with the affected foot (versus nonaffected) in the baseline condition, but this shifted in the high-frequency condition, resulting in a longer stride time for the nonaffected side (t(19) = 4.69; P< 0.001) (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, the asymmetry index for the low-frequency and control conditions approached zero, although these conditions did not differ significantly from the baseline (baseline versus low, P = 0.066; baseline versus control, P = 0.15). For the heel mean exerted force, there was also a significant effect of sound condition (F(3,39) = 3.42, P = 0.011). As seen in Figure 3C, while participants exerted more force on the ground with the nonaffected foot (versus affected) in the baseline condition, this shifted with the sound conditions, especially in the low-frequency (t(13) = -3.45; P = 0.004) and control (t(13) = -3.62; P = 0.003) conditions, with the asymmetry score for the high-frequency condition falling near zero. No significant effects on gait outcome measures were found when including lesion localization (cerebrum versus brain stem) as a covariate in the ANOVAs.

When adding participants' weight as a covariate, we found a main effect of sound condition for foot downward acceleration and an interaction of sound condition and weight (sound effect: F(3,54) = 3.24, P = 0.029; sound*weight effect: F(3,54) = 2.90, P = 0.043). It was found that the baseline and low-frequency conditions resulted in lower acceleration for the affected foot (versus nonaffected), but this shifted for the other two sound conditions (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, weight significantly predicted the difference between the baseline value and the other conditions: low-frequency ($R^2 = 0.199$, b = 0.049, P = 0.049), high-frequency ($R^2 = 0.197$, b = 0.068, P = 0.050), and control ($R^2 = 0.258$, b = 0.064, P = 0.022).

Discussion

As revealed by previous research, auditory feedback can alter body perception in healthy controls,^{15,16,35–37} as well as in clinical populations.^{22,23,34–37} In this proof-of-principle pilot study, our main aim was to assess the possibility of modifying dysfunctional gait asymmetry patterns in chronic stroke patients with lower limb hemiparesis by providing manipulated auditory feedback of their walking sounds. While there are a number of applications for movement rehabilitation using sound with information about the *actual* body position or movement, our study is novel in that it shows the potential of using sound in gait rehabilitation by means of the introduction of changes in body perception in chronic stroke patients. Our results suggest that by altering footsteps sounds, different gait parameters can be modified.

Traditionally, different techniques have been developed with the aim of restoring walking ability, mainly from a compensatory perspective.^{41,42} Over the past few years, new rehabilitation techniques relying on sensorimotor learning are being studied, as in the case of sound,^{19,43-48} offering a number of interesting advantages in the context of applications for movement rehabilitation, such as no interference with ongoing movement, provision of a continuous flow of information,49 high temporal resolution, and high sensitivity for detecting structured motion.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Furthermore, pairing movements with sounds using instruments (music playing) and sonification of movements have been recently shown to be possible candidates for improvement of motor deficits in acute and chronic patients.^{20–23} Overall, these techniques rely on the establishment of fast auditory-motor mappings as well as auditory feedback processing during music performance (or movement sonification). These mechanisms seem to be responsible for the enhanced neural plasticity of premotor and motor regions encountered in stroke patients after music training.53,54 Real-time alteration of the sound feedback resulting from motor actions may influence body perception by means of the "forward model" computations that occur during sensory-motor transformations.¹⁸ Since multisensory feedback accompanying motor actions provides information about the actual state of the body, this online external input is of crucial importance for informing the system about discrepancies between the predicted feedback (efference copy) and the actual feedback (afferent inputs). Such discrepancies signal the need for readjustments and changes in body dynamics, which lead to sensorimotor adaptation. This flexibility offers a valuable opportunity for designing novel interventions based on the updating of forward sensory predictions as a consequence of altering the expected sensory feedback of the actions performed.

In the current study, the effects of sound feedback on gait mechanics were quantified in terms of the asymmetry index, by subtracting the data of the nonaffected foot from the data of the affected foot. We focused on studying the effects of the different sound conditions on several gait parameters, more specifically, on the stance time, the stride time, heel mean force, and acceleration measures. When examining the stance time, a clinically significant reduction on the asymmetry index was found under the control and the low-frequency conditions as compared with baseline, meaning that the stance time of the affected and the nonaffected foot was very similar (Fig. 3A). Differences between baseline and control conditions might be driven by the presence of feedback amplification on the control condition (note that baseline condition was performed without headphones, but in the control condition participants heard the sounds of their footsteps amplified). On the other hand, when amplifying the high-frequency bands of walking sounds (high-frequency condition), patients tended to invert their asymmetry pattern: while their baseline gait is characterized by larger stance times for the affected versus nonaffected side, the highfrequency condition led to an increase in the stance time of their nonaffected side versus the affected side. Very similar results were obtained for the stride time, showing that the asymmetry between the affected versus nonaffected hemibody observed in the baseline period disappeared for the control and the low-frequency conditions. However, when presented with the high-frequency amplification of their footstep sounds, a clear inverted asymmetry pattern (Fig. 3B) was obtained. Considering these two gait parameters in a combined manner, we observe that both the control and low-frequency conditions result in an asymmetry reduction, while the high-frequency leads to an inverted asymmetry pattern, probably reflecting a larger compensatory response mediated by an auditory feedback signaling less weight applied on the floor (high-frequency condition), leading to the creation of new corrective patterns.

When looking at the heel mean force, an opposite pattern was found regarding the sound conditions and the asymmetry index. In this case, we saw that the condition showing larger asymmetry reduction was the high-frequency condition, with a balanced heel force between the two feet for this condition (Fig. 3C), maybe driven by the quality of the feedback that relates to less pressure applied on the ground. By contrast, when the patients were exposed to the control and low-frequency conditions, a reversed asymmetry toward the affected hemibody was found, reflecting more force applied on the affected foot in these conditions. Hence, time and force parameters show different patterns of adaptation.

Finally, regarding foot downward acceleration, we found a main effect of sound condition: while in baseline and low-frequency conditions, participants showed lower acceleration for the affected foot, the asymmetry shifted in the other two sound conditions (Fig. 3D). Going back to gait biomechanics, during walking upward acceleration (as in toeoff), an increase in physical effort due to the vertical load or force to hold our own weight occurs. Meanwhile, downward acceleration reflects a reduction in the vertical load, as lower applied force to hold our own weight is needed.⁵⁵ Thus, the reduced downward acceleration observed for the baseline and low-frequency for the affected foot links to a higher applied force to hold the foot when approaching the ground, probably indicating an increased effort to control the foot.

These changes in gait patterns caused by altered sound feedback might be related to the comparator hypothesis/forward model¹⁸ accounts for motor control, if one considers them as caused by an attempt to readjust for the perceived discrepancies between the predicted and the actual sensory feedback, in a similar manner to the changes observed after visual adaptation using prisms, a technique used in some rehabilitation therapies reported in vision.⁵⁶ A possible explanation for our results showing gait changes in terms of asymmetry may result from the system's attempt to reduce the discrepancies between the predicted and the actual sensory input, informing the system about the need to update these sensory predictions and generating an inverse compensatory pattern. These perceived discrepancies in the expected feedback, added to the increased uncertainty (i.e., surprise) and salience of the modified sensory input, might have caused an enhancement of gait awareness in our patients. Indeed, this explanation of the effect of increased attention and awareness is parsimonious considering the well-known effects of novelty, surprise, and conflict in modulating arousal levels.⁵⁷ In order to reduce uncertainty and conflict between the expected and actual sensory consequences of movements, patients needed to build up a new internal model leading to sensorimotor adjustments. Changes in motor planning and execution as well as increases in awareness of motor control may have

led to the observed overcorrection patterns. Similarly, gaze shifts have been observed when people walked in uncertain terrains, which probably help them in accruing relevant information and reducing foot placement errors.⁵⁸ In our context, the overcorrection may be beneficial as part of the motor relearning process, as previous studies on sensory entrainment during gait rehabilitation have been reported.⁵⁹ This challenging idea opens the possibility to exploit sensorimotor bottom-up mechanisms in different contexts, including sports, health, and the rehabilitation of distorted or negative body representations and motor deficits that accompany certain clinical conditions, such as stroke. Moreover, the use of sound for gait rehabilitation can easily complement other principles of motor learning. Sound can be used in task-specific training, where mass repetition of movements is involved, providing a valuable feedback about the motor performance that can be individualized to the needs and progress of the patient. The obtained findings may help shed light on new therapeutic interventions using auditory stimulation methods for gait rehabilitation in clinical settings.

Conclusions

This proof-of-principle pilot study was aimed to help to ascertain the potential value of auditory stimulation for enhancing gait patterns, through the induction of changes in body representation and its related bodily feelings, in chronic stroke patients affected by lower limb hemiparesis. We have demonstrated the potential use of sound feedback on gait rehabilitation, offering a new treatment approach for patients with lower limb hemiparesis. The implementation of real-time alteration of walking sounds using the SmartShoes resulted in changes on different gait parameters. In general terms, we observed that when providing amplified natural walking sounds (control condition) or amplification of the low-frequency bands (83-250 Hz) (lowfrequency condition), significant changes in gait parameters were observed, either showing a major reduction in the asymmetry index, as is the case for the stance time and stride time parameters, or inverted asymmetry patterns, as in the heel mean force variable. Our results can be interpreted in terms of the comparator hypothesis/forward model¹⁸ as an attempt of the system to reduce sensory discrepancies introduced by the sound feedback, contributing to the updating of internal models leading to sensorimotor adjustments. These findings may contribute to further develop the experimental protocol and provide potential applications for compensating altered body representations and its related bodily feelings in clinical populations with gait disturbances.

Limitations

This is a proof-of-principle study and therefore there are some limitations regarding the design and possible generalization. Given the variability of the gait patterns in our sample, the most significant is the number of participants, in our case chronic stroke patients with lower limb hemiparesis, mainly due to setting constraints. We used measures of motor impairment and function for clinically describing our sample, but future studies could also consider the impact of paresis and spasticity severity on the study outcomes. Our study also had a slight predominance for right-sided brain lesions (15/22), as well as lesion heterogeneity concerning cerebrum versus brain stem lesions, probably affecting the extrapolation of our results to other clinical populations. Further studies may tackle this concern by selecting a larger and balanced sample in terms of brain lesion lateralization and site. In a similar manner, baseline measures included in the analysis may reflect an effect of order as it was always assessed in first place, an issue that should be addressed in upcoming studies. Finally, our results on the questionnaires assessing emotional changes and body feelings might be biased due to a reduced understanding of the statements presented, maybe due to the level of complexity of the employed vocabulary. Further studies examining this relationship might reinforce previous findings linking changes in body perception, emotion, and gait.^{24,36,37,60,61} Future studies could be performed addressing these issues by performing multicenter collaborations and randomized controlled trials for testing the feasibility of this new technology.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Spanish Government with an MINECO grant (PSI2015-69178-P) awarded to A.R.F., two MINECO grants (RYC-2014–15421 and PSI2016-79004-R, AEI/FEDER, UE) awarded to A.T.-J., and a predoctoral FPI grant (BES-2016-078889) awarded to A.G.-A. We would like to thank our patients for their help, support, and willingness to collaborate in all cases during this project.

Author contributions

A.G.-A., J.G.-S., E.D., A.R.-F., and A.T.-J. contributed to the conception and design of the work. A.G.-A. and J.G.-S. acquired the data, A.G.-A. and A.T.-J. conducted the analyses, prepared figures, and interpreted the results, and A.G.-A., J.G.-S., E.D., A.R.-F., and A.T.-J. contributed to the drafting of the manuscript. A.G.-A., A.R.-F., and A.T.-J. accept responsibility for the integrity of the data analyzed.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- World Health Organization. 2017. Cardiovascular diseases. Accessed July 20, 2019. https://www.who.int/ cardiovascular_diseases/en/.
- Wardlaw, J.M., P.A. Sandercock & V. Murray. 2009. Uncertainties page: should more patients with acute ischaemic stroke receive thrombolytic treatment? *BMJ* 339: 1251– 1254.
- Duncan, P.W., R. Zorowitz, B. Bates, *et al.* 2005. Management of adult stroke rehabilitation care: a clinical practice guideline. *Stroke* 36: e100–e143.
- Wevers, L., I. Van De Port, M. Vermue, *et al.* Effects of taskoriented circuit class training on walking competency after stroke: a systematic review. *Stroke* **40**: 2450–2459.
- Brouwer, B., K. Parvataneni & S.J. Olney. 2009. A comparison of gait biomechanics and metabolic requirements of overground and treadmill walking in people with stroke. *J. Clin. Biomech.* 24: 729–734.
- Helm, E.E. & D.S. Reisman. 2015. The split-belt walking paradigm: exploring motor learning and spatiotemporal asymmetry poststroke. *Phys. Med. Rehab. Clin.* 26: 703–713.
- van Stralen, H.E., M.J.E. van Zandvoort & H.C. Dijkerman. 2011. The role of self-touch in somatosensory and body representation disorders after stroke. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 366: 3142–3152.
- Carey, L.M. 1995. Somatosensory loss after stroke. *Crit. Rev. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 7: 51–91.
- Beyaert, C., R. Vasa & G.E. Frykberg. 2015. Gait post-stroke: pathophysiology and rehabilitation strategies. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 45: 335–355.
- Takakusaki, K. 2013. Neurophysiology of gait: from the spinal cord to the frontal lobe. *Mov. Disord.* 28: 1483–1491.
- Morton, S.M. & A.J. Bastian. 2004. Cerebellar control of balance and locomotion. *Neuroscientist* 10: 247–259.
- Botvinick, M. & J. Cohen. 1998. Rubber hands 'feel' touch that eyes see. *Nature* 391: 756.
- Head, H. & G. Holmes. 1911. Sensory disturbances from cerebral lesions. *Brain* 34: 102–254.

- Maravita, A. & A. Iriki. 2004. Tools for the body (schema). Trends Cogn. Sci. 8: 79–86.
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., A. Väljamäe, I. Toshima, *et al.* 2012. Action sounds recalibrate perceived tactile distance. *Curr. Biol.* 22: R516–R517.
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., M. Tsakiris, T. Marquardt, *et al.* 2015. Action sounds update the mental representation of arm dimension: contributions of kinaesthesia and agency. *Front. Psychol.* 6: 689.
- Tsakiris, M. 2010. My body in the brain: a neurocognitive model of body-ownership. *Neuropsychologia* 48: 703–712.
- Wolpert, D.M. & Z. Ghahramani. 2000. Computational principles of movement neuroscience. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3: 1212.
- Bevilacqua, F., E.O. Boyer, J. Françoise, *et al.* 2016. Sensorimotor learning with movement sonification: perspectives from recent interdisciplinary studies. *Front. Neurosci.* 10: 385.
- Scholz, D.S., L. Wu, J. Pirzer, et al. 2014. Sonification as a possible stroke rehabilitation strategy. Front. Neurosci. 8: 332.
- Scholz, D.S., S. Rhode, M. Großbach, *et al.* 2015. Moving with music for stroke rehabilitation: a sonification feasibility study. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1337: 69–76.
- Scholz, D.S., S. Rohde, N. Nikmaram, et al. 2016. Sonification of arm movements in stroke rehabilitation—a novel approach in neurologic music therapy. Front. Neurol. 7: 106.
- Grau-Sánchez, J., E. Duarte, N. Ramos-Escobar, *et al.* 2018. Music-supported therapy in the rehabilitation of subacute stroke patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1423: 318–328.
- Rizzo, J.R., P. Raghavan, J.R. McCrery, *et al.* 2015. Effects of emotionally charged auditory stimulation on gait performance in the elderly: a preliminary study. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 96: 690–696.
- Brodie, M.A., R.T. Dean, T.R. Beijer, *et al.* 2015. Symmetry matched auditory cues improve gait steadiness in most people with Parkinson's disease but not in healthy older people. *J. Park. Dis.* 5: 105–116.
- Rodger, M.W., W.R. Young & C.M. Craig. 2014. Synthesis of walking sounds for alleviating gait disturbances in Parkinson's disease. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 22: 543– 548.
- 27. Pitale, J.T. & J.H. Bolte. 2018. A heel-strike real-time auditory feedback device to promote motor learning in children who have cerebral palsy: a pilot study to test device accuracy and feasibility to use a music and dance-based learning paradigm. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 4: 42.
- Baram, Y. & A. Miller. 2007. Auditory feedback control for improvement of gait in patients with multiple sclerosis. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 254: 90–94.
- 29. Fischer, T., A. Kiselka, R. Dlapka, et al. 2016. An auditory feedback system in use with people aged + 50 years: compliance and modifications in gait pattern. In Converging Clinical and Engineering Research on Neurorehabilitation II: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on NeuroRehabilitation (ICNR2016), Segovia, Spain.
- Zanotto, D., G. Rosati, S. Spagnol, et al. 2013. Effects of complementary auditory feedback in robot-assisted lower extremity motor adaptation. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 21: 775–786.

- Zarate, J.M. & R.J. Zatorre. 2008. Experience-dependent neural substrates involved in vocal pitch regulation during singing. *Neuroimage* 40: 1871–1887.
- Purcell, D.W. & K.G. Munhall. 2006. Adaptive control of vowel formant frequency: evidence from real-time formant manipulation. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 120: 966–977.
- Menzer, F., A. Brooks, P. Halje, *et al.* 2010. Feeling in control of your footsteps: conscious gait monitoring and the auditory consequences of footsteps. *Cogn. Neurosci.* 1: 184–192.
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., T. Marquardt, D. Swapp, *et al.* 2016. Action sounds modulate arm reaching movements. *Front. Psychol.* 7: 1391.
- 35. Tajadura-Jiménez, A., M. Basia, O. Deroy, et al. 2015. As light as your footsteps: altering walking sounds to change perceived body weight, emotional state and gait. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2943–2952.
- 36. Tajadura-Jiménez, A., J. Newbold, L. Zhang, et al. 2019. As light as you aspire to be: changing body-perception with sound to support physical activity. In CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings (CHI 2019), Glasgow, Scotland, UK.
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., H. Cohen & N. Bianchi-Berthouze. 2017. Bodily sensory inputs and anomalous bodily experiences in complex regional pain syndrome: evaluation of the potential effects of sound feedback. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11: 379.
- Fugl-Meyer, A.R., L. Jääskö, I. Leyman, *et al.* 1975. The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. 1. A method for evaluation of physical performance. *Scand. J. Rehabil. Med.* 7: 13–31.
- Li, X., R.J. Logan & R.E. Pastore. 1991. Perception of acoustic source characteristics: walking sounds. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 90: 3036–3049.
- Bradley, M.M. & P.J. Lang. 1994. Measuring emotion: the self-assessment manikin and the semantic differential. *J. Behav. Ther. Exp. Psychiatry* 25: 49–59.
- Belda-Lois, J.M., S. Mena-del Horno, I. Bermejo-Bosch, et al. 2011. Rehabilitation of gait after stroke: a review towards a top-down approach. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 8: 66.
- Verma, R., K.N. Arya, P. Sharma, *et al.* 2012. Understanding gait control in post-stroke: implications for management. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 16: 14–21.
- Maulucci, R.A. & R.H. Eckhouse. 2001. Retraining reaching in chronic stroke with real-time auditory feedback. *NeuroRehabilitation* 16: 171–182.
- 44. Singh, A., A. Klapper, J. Jia, et al. 2014. Motivating people with chronic pain to do physical activity: opportunities for technology design. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
- 45. Singh, A., S. Piana, D. Pollarolo, *et al.* 2015. Go-with-theflow: tracking, analysis and sonification of movement and breathing to build confidence in activity despite chronic pain. *Hum. Comput. Interact.* 31: 335–383.

- 46. Singh, A., N. Bianchi-Berthouze & A. Williams. 2017. Supporting everyday function in chronic pain using wearable technology. In *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 3903–3915.
- Vogt, K., D. Pirr & I. Kobenz. 2019. Physiosonic-movement sonification as auditory feedback. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Auditory Display*, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1–7.
- Wallis, I., T. Ingalls, T. Rikakis, *et al.* 2007. Real-time sonification of movement for an immersive stroke rehabilitation environment. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Auditory Display*, Montréal, Canada, 26–29.
- Tajadura-Jiménez, A., A. Väljamäe, F. Bevilacqua, et al. 2017. Body-centered auditory feedback. In *The Wiley Handbook of Human Computer Interaction Set*. K. Norman & J. Kirakowski, Eds.: 371. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hermann, T. & H. Ritter. 2004. Sound and meaning in auditory data display. *Proc. IEEE Inst. Electr. Electron. Eng.* 92: 730–741.
- Juslin, P.N. & D. Västfjäll. 2008. Emotional responses to music: the need to consider underlying mechanisms. *Behav. Brain Res.* 31: 559–575.
- McDonald, J.J., W.A. Teder-SaÈlejaÈrvi & S.A. Hillyard. 2000. Involuntary orienting to sound improves visual perception. *Nature* 407: 906.
- Ripolles, P., N. Rojo, J. Grau-Sanchez, *et al.* 2016. Music supported therapy promotes motor plasticity in individuals with chronic stroke. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 10: 1289– 1307.
- Rodriguez-Fornells, A., N. Rojo, J. Amengual, *et al.* 2012. The involvement of audio-motor coupling in the musicsupported therapy applied to stroke patients. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1252: 282–293.
- Köhle, M., D. Merkl & J. Kastner. 1997. Clinical gait analysis by neural networks: issues and experiences. In *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems*, Maribor, Slovenia, 138–143.
- Moseley, G.L., A. Gallace, F. Di Pietro, *et al.* 2013. Limb specific autonomic dysfunction in complex regional pain syndrome modulated by wearing prism glasses. *J. Pain* 154: 2463–2468.
- 57. Berlyne, D.E. 1966. Curiosity and exploration. *Science* 153: 25–33.
- Domínguez-Zamora, F.J., S.M. Gunn & D.S. Marigold. 2018. Adaptive gaze strategies to reduce environmental uncertainty during a sequential visuomotor behaviour. *Sci. Rep.* 8: 14112.
- Reh, J., T.H. Hwang, G. Schmitz & A.O. Effenberg. 2019. Dual mode gait sonification for rehabilitation after unilateral hip arthroplasty. *Brain Sci.* 9: 66.
- Park, K.S., C.J. Hass, B. Fawver, *et al.* 2019. Emotional states influence forward gait during music listening based on familiarity with music selections. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 66: 53–62.
- 61. Naugle, K.M., C.J. Hass, J. Joyner, *et al.* 2011. Emotional state affects the initiation of forward gait. *Emotion* 11: 267.